### <u>Route 33</u>

### Updated: May 2016

Castle Gate to Duchesne. Duchesne (formerly Theodore) - Colton, October 12, 1910, revised to connect at Castle Gate May 4, 1912.

<u>1953 Description:</u> From Castle Gate on Route 8 northeasterly to Duchesne on Route 6.

Approved by 1963 Legislature: \*\*(\*(A) Scanned) Approved by 1965 Legislature:

<u>1967 Legislature:</u>
\*(B)
<u>1975 Legislature:</u> Description remains the same.

\*(C) 1981 Commission Action 9-4-81

SR-33 withdrawn as a State Route and transferred in its entirety on the same alignment to SR-191. <u>Approved by 1983 Legislature</u> effective May 10, 1983.

\* Refers to resolution index page following.

\*\*Refers to Scanned Computer Resolution index on the following page.

## <u>Route 33</u>

### **COUNTY/VOLUME & RESOLUTION NO.**

A. Duchesne Co. 1/88	<b>B</b> . Duchesne Co. 2/15	C. Carbon & Duchesne Co. 6/37
DESCI	RIPTION OF RESOLUTION	N CHANGE
(A). Relocation/New Align	nent - Transferred back to adjace	ent property owners between Engineer

	Stations 582 and 758. Also transferred to United States Forest Service old alignment between Engineer Station 758 and 843+50, project No. S-0259(5).
(B). Relocation/New Alignment -	From Indian Canyon Summit northerly 4.454 miles.
(C). Re-designation -	SR-33 in its entirety re-designated as a portion of SR-191, also US-191.

Interim Designations and Deletions - Federal-Aid Highways Authority: Sec. 27-12-27, UCA, 1953, As Amended

#### RESOLUTION

State Route 33 k

1-53-1

WHEREAS, with the completion of Project S-0259(5) from the Carbon-Duchesne County line northerly, a distance of 7.105 miles has resulted in the construction on new alignment sections of new roadway and,

WHEREAS, portions of the old alignment will still serve as a public roadway although not justified as a part of the State System of Highways and,

WHEREAS, to maintain continuity in the State System of Highways and,

WHEREAS, it has been recommended by Mr. Earl A. Johnson, District Engineer, that the road changes be made as follows.

NOW THEREFORE, pursuant to the Authority of Section 27-12-27, UCA, 1953, AS AMENDED, it is hereby resolved as follows:

 That all portions of highway constructed on new alignment as a result of Project S-0259(5) be designated as a part of State Route 33.

That the old alignment of State Route 33 between Stations 582 +- to
 758 +- be abandoned from the State System of Highways.

3. That since the State did not own free title to the land, that portion of old State Route 33 between Engineer Stations 582 +- to 758 +- should revert to the adjacent owner.

That the old alignment of State Route 33 between Engineer Stations
 758 +- to 843 + 50 be transferred to the jurisdiction of United States Forest Service.

 That by this action State Highway System mileage will decrease 0.3 +mile.

6. That Exhibit "A" attached herewith illustrating the action taken here-

with is hereby incorporated as a part of this submission.

gry The Dated this

day of <u>Alcembia</u>, 1965.


STATE ROAD COMMISSION OF UTAH

Chairman issi ner

Commissioner

tran Commissioner

ATTEST: and a. Feenley Secretary



Interim Designations and Deletions - Federal-Aid Highways Authority: Sec. 27-12-27, UCA, 1953, As Amended

RESOLUTION

\$0

#### State Route 33

WHEREAS, with the completion of Project S-0259-(7) from Indian Canyon Summit northerly, a distance of 4.454 miles has resulted in the construction on new alignment sections of new roadway and,

WHEREAS, remaining portions of the old alignment will no longer serve as a public roadway and,

WHEREAS, to maintain continuity in the State System of Highways.

NOW THEREFORE, pursuant to the Authority of Section 27-12-27, UCA, 1953, AS AMENDED, it is hereby resolved as follows:

That all portions of highway constructed on new alignment as a 10
 result of Project S-0259-(7) be designated as a part of State Route 33.

 That all remaining portions of the old alignment of State Route 33 be abandoned from the State System of Highways.

That by this action State Highway System mileage will decrease
 0.1 + - mile.

 That the map attached herewith illustrating the action taken herewith is hereby incorporated as a part of this submission.

Dated this 10th day of Fibruary 1967.

STATE ROAD COMMISSION OF UTAH

ston Man

Chairman Commissioner

RESOLUTION State Route 33 Page 2


1

Im. Firstik Commissioner

Hier Commissioner

Frain Commissioner

ATTEST: Anach a Feinley Secretary



DIRECTOR IENRY C. HELLAND

> 09-42.4 70-RE



STATE HIGHWAY ENGINEER BLAINE J. KAY AND AND DESCRIPTION OF

Utah State Department of Highways State Office Building Salt Lake City, Utah 84114

February 24, 1967

Mr. Daniel Watt, Division Engineer U. S. Department of Commerce Bureau of Public Roads Federal Building 125 South State Street Salt Lake City, Utah 84111

Dear Mr. Watt:

Subject: Transfer to a new alignment a portion of State Route 33 in Duchesne County

Effective February 10, 1967, the State Road Commission adopted a resolution transferring a portion of State Route 33 in Duchesne County to a new alignment, from Indian Canyon Summit northerly, a distance of 4.454 miles.

The old alignment will no longer serve as a public road and is abandoned from the State System of Highways.

By this action State Highway System mileage is decreased 0.1 + - mile.


Transmitted is a copy of the resolution and a location map.

Very truly yours,

B. Dale Burningham Chief Research Engineer

Transmittal

DIRECTOR IENRY C. HELLAND



STATE HIGHWAY ENGINEER BLAINE J. KAY

### Utah State Department of Highways State Office Building Salt Lake City, Utah 84114 February 24, 1967

Wm. J. Ostler, Chairman Duchesne County Commission Duchesne, Utah 84021

Dear Mr. Ostler:

Subject: Transfer to a new alignment a portion of State Route 33 in Duchesne County

Effective February 10, 1967, the State Road Commission adopted a resolution transferring a portion of State Route 33 in Duchesne County to a new alignment, from Indian Canyon Summit northerly, a distance of 4.454 miles.

The old alignment will no longer serve as a public road and is abandoned from the State System of Highways.

By this action State Highway System mileage is decreased 0.1 + - mile.

Transmitted is a copy of the resolution and a location map.

Very truly yours,

B. Dale Burningham Chief Research Engineer

Transmittal

### Addition and Redesignation of Various State Routes

WHEREAS, it has been the policy to redesignate by hierarchy state route numbers to be synonymous with US route designations, and

WHEREAS, the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials approved the extension of US Route 191 from a point north of West Yellowstone, Wyoming, southerly via the following state routes, or portions of state routes, in Utah: 260, 44, 40, 33, 6, 70 and 163 to Chambers, Arizona, and

WHEREAS, a portion of this route (US-191) from a point near Bluff, Utah, to US-160 near Mexican Water, Arizona, is coincident with an Indian Reservation Road for which the Bureau of Indian Affairs has administrative responsibility.

NOW THEREFORE, be it resolved as follows:

That contingent upon the Bureau of Indian Affairs granting a right-of-way easement to the State of Utah and the road being in an acceptable state of maintenance for that section of road from the Utah-Arizona state line northerly to a junction with SR-163 near Bluff, a request be submitted to the State Legislature at its next regular session for approval to have this section of road included in the State System of Highways and designated as a part of route 191, to become effective upon the approval by the Legislature, and

That present State Route 163 from a point near Bluff northerly to Crescent Junction be redesignated as part of State Route 191, and

That present State Route 33 in its entirety be designated as part of State Route 191, and

That present State Route 44 from a junction with State Route 40 in Vernal northerly to Greendale Junction be designated as part of State Route 191, and on and Redesignation of Various State Routes

That present State Route 260 in its entirety be designated as part of State Route 191, and

That as a result of the aforementioned revisions the state routes involved will be described as follows:

Route 44 - From a junction with Route 191 at Greendale Junction westerly and northerly to Manila on Route 43.

Route 163 - From the Utah-Arizona State line at a point southwest of Mexican Hat northeasterly to Route 191 near Bluff.

<u>Route 191</u> - From the Utah-Arizona State line at a point south of Bluff northerly via Blanding, Monticello and Moab to a junction with Route 70 at Crescent Junction; then commencing again at a junction with Route 6 north of Helper northerly via Indian Canyon to a junction with Route 40 at Duchesne; then commencing again at a junction with Route 40 in Vernal northerly via Greendale Junction and Dutch John to the Utah-Wyoming State line.

The map sheet relating the action taken herewith is hereby incorporated as a part of this Resolution.

Dated this 4-th day of Suptember , 1981.

UTAH TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

on and Redesignation of Various State Routes

61 en oner SSI Comm

ATTEST:

Elva A. anduron Secretary

COMMISSION

AUN COX AIRMAN NE S WINTERS ICE CHAIRMAN CLEM H. CHURCH SAMUEL J TAYLOR CHARLES E. WARD

RONALD A FERNLEY SECRETARY



Director William D. Hurley, P.E.

Assistant Director C.V. Anderson, P.E.

UTAH DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION State Office Building Salt Lake City, Utah 84114

Subject: Addition and Redesignation of Various State Routes

Dear Sir:

On June 6, 1981, the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials approved the extension of U.S. Route 191 to traverse various state routes in the State of Utah, as described in the enclosed resolution.


Enclosed is a copy of the resolution and a location map.

Very truly yours,

W. Ronald Delis

W. Ronald Delis Engineer for Transportation Planning

Enclosure



# Memorandum.

### UTAH DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

DATE: October 8, 1981

TO : L. R. Jester, P.E. District 6 Director

FROM : W. Ronald Delis, P.E. He Engineer for Transportation Planning

SUBJECT: Addition and Redesignation of various State Routes

On June 6, 1981, the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials approved the extension of U.S. Route 191, to traverse various State Routes in the State of Utah, as described in the attached resolution.

Attached is a copy of the resolution and a location map.

The signing changes for State Routes 260, 44, 40, 33, 6, 163 and U.S. Route 163 should be completed as soon as time and money are available.

Attachment

cc: James L. Deaton P.E. District 4 Director AN ASSOCIATION OF STATE HIGHWAY AND TRANSPORTATION OFFICIALS





37

#### AN APPLICATION FROM THE STATE HIGHWAY OR TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT OF

UTAH

FOR

the Elimination of a U.S. (I) Route

ERSTATE

the Establishment of a U.S. (1) Route .....

the Relocation of U.S. (1) Route

the Establishment of an Alternate U.S. Route

The Establishment of a Temporary U.S. Route

\* the Recognition of a Business Route on U.S. (1) Route ......

• \_ the Recognition of a By-pass Route on U.S. Route

BETWEEN West Yellowstone

Montana and Chambers, Arizona

The Following State or States are Involved:

N	Nontana
V	Vyoming
A	rizona

committee EX 20	Date Received	567 2 77
· /	Date application acknowledged	
1.2 5	Date to Route Number Committee	6/25/31
1.0 6	Date considered by Executive Committe	
	Action of Executive Committee	Learly of Charles Charles
181	Route 19	1 PP22426
	[]3.	181 1

September 10, 19 80

\* A local vicinity map needed on Page 3. On Page 5 a short statement to the effect that there are no deficiencies on proposed routing, if true, will suffice. If there are deficiencies, they should be so indicated in accordance with Page 4 instructions.

SUBMIT SIX COPIES

The purpose of the U. S. Numbering and Marking is to facilitate movement along the general direction of desire lines of travel over the shortest and best available roads, and a route should form continuity of available facilities through two or more States that accommodate the most important and heaviest motor traffic flow in the area.

The system was established in 1926 and the U. S. Route System has reached the point of review, revision, consolidation, and perfecting, rather than continuous expansion. Therefore, any proposed alteration in the established system should be extremely meritorious and thoroughly, though concisely, explained in order that the Route Numbering Committee and the Executive Committee of the Association may give prompt and proper consideration to each and every request made by a Member Department.


#### Explanation and Reasons for the Request: (Keep Concise and Pertinent)


The extension of this route would provide a continuous north and south U.S. route through the eastern part of Utah. Thus, being in keeping with AASHTO Route Numbering policy providing a U.S. route connecting four States, Montana, Wyoming, Utah and " Arizona. This extension of U.S. 191 would also result in the deletion of U.S. 187 that is entirely within the State of Wyoming. Thus, in keeping with AASHTO Route Numbering policy of eliminating U.S. routes entirely within one state.

Date facility available to traffic The section of highway from Bluff to US-160 will be open to traffic by November 1980. All other sections are open to traffic now. Does the perinten propose a new routing over a portion of an existing US. Route Yes ? If so, where: US-163, Bluff to Crescent Jct. - US-6 & 50, Crescent Jct. to west of Green River, US-6 west of Green River to Junction SR-33 - US-40, Duchesne to Vernal.

Does the petition propose a new routing over a portion of an existing Interstate Route Yes ? If so, where: 1-70, Crescent Junction to a point west of Green River.

Page 2





								191		
POINER	PAVEME	PAVEME	TRAFF	PAVEMENT WIDTH DEFICIENCY	SHOULDER WIDTH DEFICIENCY	ROAD WAY WIDTH DEFICIENCY	H - LOADING DEFICIENCY	SIGHT DISTANCE DEFICIENCY	HORI-	PER- CENT
1				PERCENT 10 10 30 40	PE#CE#T	PERCENT 10 10 101 01	PERCENT TO 40 80 80	PERCENT to 40 40 40	CURVA- TURE	GRADE
20	UTAH H JUNG	G	375 SR-4 755	STATE LIN	E NONE LE JUNCTI	NONE	NONE			
34.8			1200							
	JUNC	TION	3350 US-40 4500 3000	O IN VERNA						
57.9			2800							
80			6500 3400 2700 4200							
100	JUNC		+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++		SNE					
43.6			265							
(4)	JUNC	RXR TION	1590 US-6 2000	NORTH OF	HELPER					
	UUNC	TION	SR=10 7100 8200 5900 4000							
.80			2100							
00										

	No. of Concession, Name of	Contraction of the local division of the		CONTRACTOR OF A DATA OF A	No. of the local distance of the local dista	Contract of the Contract of th	NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY AND ADDRESS OF TAXABLE PARTY.	Contraction of the state of the	NOTICE SCREEK STORING STORY	North State of State of State of State	A STATE OF THE OWNER
ly within this s		4		1		C					0
Ciation of e		400		380	360	)	300	280	260	240	
The State agr		.9					1 1 4 44 4				10-1-101
			24	29.	1-	10)	53.		(9)	(3)	3.7
	The second		0			. 9	9		5		11
-						N					GR
1					BL	0			M	NO	10-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-1
4					A	VE	the state of the s		0.A	the providence of the second	EN
		AL				IC			B	OI	R
	International and a second sec	+-,			LIN Z H	EL 2		3		11	VI 2
1	and the second statement of the second secon	AR		2 9 17	S G N F	5	A REPORT OF	1	9-0	15	1.1
				5.0	40		and success to a subscene was		50	75-1	
	A distant and set of the set of t	20									
Ť		NA	H								
ſ	f										D
	a shi mana a shi mana a shi ma shi sa	ST	+ +							R	
2	and a loss of a loss of the second se	A								E	
		TI	W		to the party in					sc	
	a down more a superior and the superior of the	and the state of t		and a set of the set o	and a second sec		the second			(1)	X
			State	-						N	Liferance of a second bar
	a survey operation of the second seco	N						and the second s		a start of the start of the	L
	1			1					and the state of the state	IL IL	V
							and the second s			No. State	K
					alast a second second second		A substant succession in the second s			CI	V
	and a standard and an ender a summer of		-								
	- I want a second secon		the second secon	li						01	
+				and the second s			and a second sec				
	and a second of the second sec										
	in such a frame of the second se		and the second s	Annual and an and an and a second sec	and the second s						
					-						
	and and a state of the state of										
									and a second sec		and the second second second
			1	1							
			1	11			and the second s			the second secon	a new part of the second secon
	H										
						and all the second seco	and the statement of th	statement of the second second			
			+-	-	a serie series and a series of the		and an electron descent of the second			in printing stars and a support	a transmiss and the second
_	ti	1								and the second s	and the second second second
	11					a de la companya de l					
				-			A reserve and the second second second			and the second s	
				A real of the second se							
		1.2					and a set of a contract of the set of the se			and the second se	
			11	and build on a second s			a contract suggestion and have seen	the state of the s			a design of the second
			H	-	A DESCRIPTION AND ADDRESS ADDR		and the set of the set of the second set of the			The same as the same set of th	and a second sec
	a data da a data a data a data da a data da a				-						
							a second dealers a second second			and show which we want the state of the stat	and the state of t
										a de las marcas a radores darás	the second secon

The State agrees and pledges its good faith that it will not erect, remove, or change any U.S. or Interstate Route Markers on any road without the authorization, consent, or approval of the Executive Committee of the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, not withstanding the fact that the changes proposed are entirely within this State.

The weighted average daily traffic volume along the proposed route, as shown on the map on page 3, is 2480 as compared to 6280 for the year 1979 for all other U.S. Numbered routes in the State.

The "Purpose and Policy in the Establishment and Development of United States Numbered Highways, as Revised September 15, 1970" or the "Purpose and Policy in the Establishment of a Marking System of the Routes Comprising the National System of Interstate and Defense Highways" as revised August 10, 1973 has been read and is accepted.

In our opinion, this petition complies with the above applicable policy:

(Signature)

115

Chief Administrative Official, Utah Department of Transportation (Member Department)

This petition is authorized by official action of Utah Department of Transportation Commission under date of August 15, 1980 as follows: (Copy excerpt from Minutes)

US 191 PROPOSAL

Howard Leatham said he had the oppoutunity to meet personally with the Planning Directors of all the states involved and discuss it with them. Montana and Wyoming are very favorable to the proposal. The State of Arizona has agreed to go along with the modifications we show.

Arizona and Utah have the same problem. The only piece of road that is a problem is the piece from Mexican Water up to Bluff across the Navajo Reservation. It is not on the state highway system in either state.

Mr. Leatham talked with the Chief Engineer of the Bureau of Indian Affairs in Shiprock, and he said that piece of road will be completed south of the bridge to a 34 ft. width standard by this October. We are cooperating with San Juan County from the bridge north.

Mr. Leatham said that his recommendation would be to make our application to AASHTO and contingent on whether or not they approved the route we could come back and add it to the system afterwards. Commissioner Taylor agreed.

A motion was made by Commissioner Taylor, seconded by Commissioner Church, and unanimously passed:

> That approval be granted to proceed with the US 191 proposal and submit it to the AASHTO Numbering Committee

> > Page 6